

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,745	10/15/2003	Lukas Eisermann	31132.154	6136	
46333 7590 07/11/2007 HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
901 MAIN ST			PHILOGEN	PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX	75202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>,</b>			3733		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	07/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	111			
Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/685,745	EISERMANN, LUKAS	EISERMANN, LUKAS		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Pedro Philogene	3733			

	Pedro Philogene	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notia Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search (see NO v); er form for appeal by materially reconstruction or security reconstruction or security reconstructions.	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the plain (s)</li> </ul>	·	•	
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-14 and 23-27.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-18.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a Ne	ntice of Anneal will no	t he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (l	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant presented the same argument presented in the response to the last Office Action. Applicant further stated that "based on the written description of Harrington, it is possible that prior to manufacturing the artificial disc, the components include a pivot ball independent of the post, as suggested in the Office Action. However, in that pre-assembled condition, the components do not anticipated claims 1, 27 because they do not have the relative positions recited in the claims". These arguments are not found to be persuasive. The question is whether or not Harrington discloses a spherical ball? As stated in Harrington's column 1, line 66, "A generally spherical pivot ball" is disclosed, as claimed by applicant. Therefore, the Harrington's reference meets the claims. The proposed amendment, therefore, will not be entered...

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER